

NIGERIA'S LEADERSHIP ROLE QUESTS: THE RACE OF THE CRIPPLED

Ebere R. Adigbuo, PhD

Dept of History and International Studies

Delta State University, Abraka Nigeria

pecilljob@gmail.com

Abstract

There is no doubt that Nigerians conceive their country as the giant state in Africa, principally for the country's human and material endowments. In the realm of foreign policy, it is Nigeria's status more than that of any other black African country, that most determines Africa's collective future. Nigeria is determined not just to play its leadership role in Africa, but to also build upon it.¹ It is aligned with this background that Nigeria's problem of capability comes in. A country that utilizes less than 10% of total steel used in Africa, less than 12% of all the power generated in Africa, a country that is associated with institutional failings and where social upheavals threatens the polity because of inept and corrupt leadership, it is doubtful if Africa will entrust its destiny to the crippled state. Using the "leadership role conception"² as the theoretical framework, this paper examines the successes and challenges in this monumental task of leading Africa in this century.

Keywords: Leadership, Role, Foreign Policy, National Interest, Nigeria, Africa

Introduction

Nigeria is indisputably the most populous country in Africa, with over two hundred million people. Economically, the country has a huge gas reserves and is supposedly the seventh largest oil producing country in the world. Nigeria is also the biggest economic and trading partner to the West and Asian countries like China. With a vast military resource, it is commonplace to ascribe the role of a sub-regional and continental leadership status to the country. However, the issue is: how has Nigeria's aspiration for regional dominance influenced its foreign policy decisions towards the rest of Africa? It is a truism to state that almost all middle/regional powers are constrained by a number of domestic variables. The state of Nigeria's foreign policy is one characterised by ambivalence. Whilst the Nigerian leaders envision a leadership role (regional dominance) for their country in Africa, in practical terms, Nigeria struggles as a third-rate power in the comity of nations.³

Nigeria creates the notion of grandeur, through acts of benevolence to other African countries. This is the situation as the nation-state is challenged with ethnic and religious conflicts, collapsed infrastructure, weak institutions that encourage corruption and the resultant poverty and hunger. It is on the basis of these domestic constraints that Simeon Adebó, Nigeria's former representative to the United Nations, cautioned his compatriots that "no nation that is weak at home, politically and economically can be strong abroad. Foreign policy is a function of home strength".⁴ Adebó's remark is only recorded on one side of the foreign policy coin. On the other side is Okonjo Iweala's cultural justification for Nigeria multifaceted assistance to other African States.⁵

It must be appreciated that it was Nigeria's Prime Minister, Sir Abubakar Tafawa Balewa that introduced the African centeredness of Nigeria's foreign policy the moment he proclaimed that we belong to Africa and Africa must first claim attention in our external relationship. On 7 October 1960, the Prime Minister outlined the foreign policy principles of the country when he addressed the United Nations Assembly: non interference, peaceful settlement of disputes and promotion of functional cooperation among African states, amongst others. Balewa promoted the establishment of the Organisation of African Unity (OAU) in 1963; unlike Kwame Nkruma, of Ghana, he cherished a gradualist approach to the continental affairs. To Balewa's credit, Nigeria's legal luminary, Teslim Elias, drafted the OAU constitution.⁶ There were other accomplishments. It was Nigeria, during Balewa's administration that spearheaded the expulsion of the racist South Africa regime from the Commonwealth in 1963. Nigeria also championed the trade deals between ACP and the EEC-(Africa, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States and European Economic Community) in 1966. Nigeria has on several occasions given aid to needy African states. On some occasions, Nigeria sold petroleum oil at concessionary prices to needy African states.⁷ Gowon went to the extreme of settling the salaries of protesting workers in the West Indies. In 1987, the Technical Aids Corp Program whereby young Nigerian professionals voluntarily served other African, Caribbean and Pacific countries in need of their expertise.⁸ Nigeria had other accomplishments as highlighted subsequently.

Where have these multifaceted assistances to other African countries brought Nigeria? What constraint has Nigeria's domestic setting impacted on her leadership aspirations? And how is Nigeria's leadership perceived by other African states? Put differently, is Nigeria's leadership role quests in Africa the

race of the crippled? These research questions will justify the extent to which Nigeria's leadership aspirations face many challenges. In achieving the above and together with this introduction, this article has been divided into five sections with this introduction as the first; the second part attempts to create a nexus between the theoretical framework and Nigeria's leadership aspirations in Africa; the third section explores Nigeria's leadership role articulation and expectations. This section demonstrates the link between the country's leadership aspirations in Africa, using two strategies: economic buoyancy and soft power-- a concept popularized by Joseph Nye, to demonstrate a nation's capability to achieve its foreign policy objectives by attraction and cooperation rather than using the military force.⁹ The fourth section reveals that leadership is a relational phenomenon and goes further to demonstrate how the crippled giant's aspiration is hamstrung by her domestic problems and how she is perceived by other African states. The paper concludes in the fifth section by insisting that leadership is earned and needs lots of commitment and hard work from Nigeria.

Regional Leadership Role Conception

This study underscores Holsti's inquiry into how foreign policy makers perceive the roles their states play in the international system. To arrive at a typology of national role conceptions, Holsti reviewed a large number of speeches, parliamentary debates, radio broadcasts, official communiqués and press conferences of 71 governments found in 972 different sources. These sources provided evidence of 17 role conceptions articulated during the period from 1965 to December 1967.¹⁰ Our concern in this paper is the Regional Leadership Role Conception that encapsulates the duties a state perceives for itself as it relates with its contiguous neighbours.¹¹ Leadership as a concept is vital to organizations and societies. It harnesses and manages resources and men to accomplish specific goals. Ordinarily, a leader is one that has primary authority and responsibility to plan, guide, control persons or institutions in a way to execute and achieve the societal or organizational goals. The leader is the main actor in the chain of the organizational activities. The leader, an individual or a nation, occupies the primary place in the activities, operations and actions of the groups, societies or states. Leadership is a relationship between the led and the leader. Thus, the leader influences the behaviour of the followers. In effect, leadership is an act of getting things done by others.

In today's world, the concept of leadership confronts enormous challenges, opportunities and possibilities. From the corporate organizations to complex

political systems, whether in the North or the global South, the core problems facing institutions is that of leadership. Leadership challenges are global, whether in the United States, where the black Obama had to be elected as a President or in the United Kingdom, where an Indian descent was chosen as a Prime Minister. Nonetheless, the consequences of leadership failure are bizarre, most especially in the developing world unlike the global North that has strong institutions. But which country can alter Africa's narrative from that of abject poverty and a worsening dependence on foreign aid to an era of scientific innovation that can uplift Africans and make the continent competitive? As a universal phenomenon, leadership involves the attainment of societal or group objectives. To attain such goals, the followers must believe their leaders.

There are other qualities Nigeria needs to be considered an African leader. These are economic capability, domestic political stability, and diplomatic use of soft power. Africa needs a leadership that that can re-build the African nation-states from the medley of ethnic nationalities packaged by Europe in its Berlin Conference of 1884-85.¹² This is unlike the constricted views that stimulate the tribal and religious-identity irredentism that has dominated the domestic political space in many African countries.¹³

How does the above narrative apply to Nigeria? Nigeria's quest for leadership in Africa is based on certain criteria: economic capability, sprawling demographics in human and material resources, and the use of soft power particularly in helping to decolonize Africa's dependent territories and settle its conflicts. Nigeria's Afro-centrism has been the kernel of her foreign policy since independence. Nigeria's national interest has been couched in Afro-centric terms; Nigeria's self acclaimed role in Africa led her to champion the cause of the black race. It was against that backdrop that Nigeria opposed the French government's atomic test in the Sahara desert, and thus risked her economic survival as an emergent nation.¹⁴

Nigeria's Leadership Role Articulation and Expectation

Nigeria's desire to African dominance has elicited commentaries. On the one hand, are analysts and practitioners that justify their country's claim for the continental leadership. The factors surrounding that claim range from geopolitical considerations, as Nigeria is strategically located within Africa to demographic justifications since Nigeria is the most populated country in Africa and the principal black state in the world. Economically, Nigeria is today

branded as the largest economy in Africa. From this backdrop, the proponents of Nigeria's regional leadership were elated the moment their country helped to establish the OAU.¹⁵ Nigeria's Prime Minister, Tafawa Balewa, saw the establishment of the OAU as a foreign policy accomplishment and a vindication of his leadership style. He affirmed the need for all the African states to unite.¹⁶ Balewa canvassed for the restricting of the United Nations so that Africa can have the chance of a permanent status at the United Nations Security Council.¹⁷ Aluko¹⁸ and Gambari¹⁹ as Nigerian analysts share identical view with Balewa, by insisting that the African liberation and development lies on Nigeria's leadership. *The Times* of London in 1981, re-stated that "Nigerians justifiably see themselves as the giant of Africa"²⁰

Nigeria's leadership aspiration in Africa needs to be positioned in the context of the country's broad foreign policy objectives which constitutionally are tied to Nigeria's national interest as prescribed in Section 19 of the 1999 Constitution. In this statutory provision, Nigeria's national interest can only be achieved through the promotion of African integration and unity, respect of international law, settlement of the continental disputes and the achievement of a new international economic order. From the foregoing, Nigeria is bound to lead Africa,²¹ as the continent remains the centrepiece of its foreign policy. Should Nigeria fail to lead Africa, other contending states like Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Egypt, and South Africa would gladly assume the leadership responsibility,²² as Nkrumah of Ghana and Mammur Gaddafi of Libya had previously nursed the African leadership position.

The establishment of the OAU and AU certainly reveals Nigeria's regional leadership roles in articulating how African unity should be achieved and how the issues of the AU's foreign policy agenda have to be prioritized. Nigeria's leadership role on this is shown in the synergy between the preoccupation of Nigeria's African policy and the policy agenda of the OAU and now the AU. That synergy between Nigeria and OAU ended the outrageous white minority rule and the enthronement of democratic governance in Southern Africa. Nigeria's leadership role during the apartheid era earned her the status of a Frontline State.

However, it is a leadership role that has financial implications. During the struggle for majority rule in Southern Africa, Nigeria spent billions of dollars in

pursuit of this questionable foreign policy goal. Nigeria's Commissioner for External Affairs during Gowon's administration, Okoi Arikpo, testified thus:

We have demonstrated our strict compliance with the OAU resolutions which called on all independent African countries to increase their material and moral support for the liberation movements, not only by making the obligatory annual contributions to the fund of the Liberation Committee of the OAU, but also by giving direct financial and general technical assistance and advice. In addition we give substantial financial aid where necessary to countries having common borders with the racist regimes.²³

President Shehu Shagari reinforced the above foreign policy statement, and pledged that Nigeria's support to the African cause would be total.²⁴

Nigeria's usage of soft power

Nigeria's contribution in ending colonialism and racist minority policy in Southern Africa was unprecedented. She openly supported armed liberation fighters in Zimbabwe (Rhodesia), Angola, Mozambique, Namibia, and South Africa, even without engaging with the racist regime militarily. Nigeria was not only the foremost contributor to the OAU Liberation Committee; she was also a regular point of call to the Southern African freedom fighters. Students from the Southern African dependent territories were offered scholarships to study in Nigeria, indicating Nigeria's belief in soft power. Nigeria's use of soft power is also shown in the manner she has helped to resolve inter and intra African conflicts. This is mostly achieved through diplomatic shuttles and appealing to the rivals in conflicts on the need for peace; these appeals were based on African identity.

Nigeria worked hard also to ensure the realization of the OAU's 1993 Cairo Declaration on the Mechanism for Conflict Prevention, Management and Resolution. Today, the AU has the African Peace and Security Architecture and Nigeria's incontestable leadership role is displayed in the resolutions of African conflicts. Besides all these, Nigeria has contributed enormously to the United Nations peacekeeping forces; she helped to establish and fund the ECOMOG that restored peace to the war wearied Sierra Leone and Liberia. Nigeria and four other states - Algeria, Egypt, Libya and South Africa - contribute 75% of the total annual recurrent budget of the African Union, with each of these countries contributing 15%.²⁵ Though Nigeria continues to shoulder the continental

budgetary allocation, the remaining 25% remained unsettled by the 49 member states of the African Union. As at 2011 and 2012, the sum of US \$72.4 and US\$ 43.8 million was yet to be settled by the 49 members of the AU.²⁶ Quite ironically, it is these 49 member states in Africa that actually hold the lens through which Nigeria's leadership role in the continent is perceived.

In addition to the huge financial and human resources Nigeria exhausts on African matters, the country is still playing an activist role on AU's bodies, like the AU Parliament, NEPAD - the New Economic Partnership for Africa's Development - and the African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM). Even at this, it is debatable whether Nigeria has articulated the national interests that should be achieved through these agencies. It is a political truism that countries maintain relations with others in order to goad their own interests which form the basis of their foreign policies.²⁷ This role expectation is not so with Nigeria. Nigeria's perception of an African centered foreign policy has overstretched the country. This has made Nigeria to pretend to be what she is not. Even at this, many African states have shown open hostility to Nigeria. The details of this hostility are reserved to the next section.

Leadership in Africa - a Relational Phenomenon

Leadership, and in particular that of the African continent, is a relationship between the leader and the led. It is a reciprocal process that is based on some measures of consent among followers.²⁸ A state's political efficacy, or what can be termed self-image, that is, the state's belief on its capacity to control events in the continent, combined with other African states desire for leadership will help resolve Nigeria's quests for leadership in the continent. Two issues present themselves in this section. On the one hand, is how Nigeria's domestic problems have impacted upon her leadership aspiration in the continent; on the other hand, is the African states' perception of Nigeria's leadership.

Nigeria's Domestic Problems

With all sense of modesty, it is difficult to understand in what areas Nigeria is aspiring to lead the continent. Understandably, Nigeria's notion of leadership is viewed in spatial terms since its focus is on Africa. This leadership aspiration must be distinguished from the functional one that focuses on specific issues. Thus, while regional leadership requires certain military and economic capabilities, functional leadership demands expertise in particular areas, like scientific and nuclear capabilities or even issues about environmental

degradation, to mention but a few. Functionally, there are doubts whether Nigeria is skilled both scientifically and technologically to lead the continent. Also, Nigeria's security challenges and the yet to be resolved economic recession has latently and manifestly crippled the African giant. Nigeria's economic recession brings to fore the ranking of top African businesses in 2006, years before the present economic quagmire.

In the 2006 *African business* Report, Nigeria's highest ranked firm that year was the Nigerian Breweries in the 44th position and only four Nigerian firms made the top 100 companies in Africa.²⁹ On the other hand, the South African companies occupy the top ten positions, 68 companies of the top 100 African countries also came from the country. Egypt and Morocco have 18 and seven companies respectively. The situation has remained unchanged these years with the South African companies maintaining their predominant position; the tide changed slightly in 2014, with Nigeria's Dangote Cement and the Nigerian Breweries occupying the 7th and 23rd positions respectively. It must also be acknowledged that South Africa is the only African country included in BRICS and G-20. Established in 1999, the G-20 focuses on global economic policy coordination. It is conceptualized to stabilize and strengthen the global economy by bringing together the major advanced and emerging market economies.³⁰ Nigeria is excluded in this club. South Africa's membership of BRICS and G20 and her successful hosting of the 2013 World Economic Forum are unassailable factors working in her favour over Nigeria.

Niyi Akinnaso, a Nigerian analyst, berates his country's poor domestic setting as responsible for her exclusion from the G-20.³¹ To him, since Nigeria lacks good leadership and sound governance, global and regional competitiveness will keep on eluding the country.³²

Educationally, out of 100 top African universities, South African universities maintained the lead with 21 slots, while Egypt came second with 13. A Nigerian top university in the 2009 ranking occupied the 44th position, going by the Webometric Ranking of World Universities. The situation of the university ranking has not substantially changed all these years. Nigeria lags behind in areas of science and technology, the basis for industrialization. That Nigeria has the greatest number of universities in the continent and has many scientists scattered all over the globe is a fact that should be acknowledged. But there is still a gap in the sense that the country's education has not functionally

addressed the socioeconomic needs of the nation. The African researchers were usually of no relevance and out of tune with the realities of the African communities".³³ It is a source of regret that despite Nigeria's abundant petro-carbon reserves, the country imports fuel for her domestic use. Nigeria is still confronted with power outages. The world economy is knowledge driven; it is an economy that thrives on creativity and innovation- the basis for industrialization. In this area, Nigeria is lacking the basic credentials of leadership. Leadership is undoubtedly measured in functional terms.

Many analysts malign the downgrading of Nigeria's status in the international forum in recent years. In 2013, Adebajo decried his country's sliding in global reckoning and relevance particularly on the foreign policy platform.³⁴ Years earlier, Bolaji Akinyemi was worried on how other African states refuse to identify with Nigeria on crucial matters that affect his country's national interest.³⁵

Though elites like Akinyemi solicit for Nigeria's respect in Africa, it is enlightening that the contrary prevails. Definitely, the fault is not from the stars. Has Nigeria's internal contradictions contributed to the way the 'giant of Africa' is perceived by other African states? Gambari once argued that the formulation and execution of Nigeria's foreign policy has been influenced by both the domestic and systemic environments.³⁶ Though the country is endowed with huge natural resources, including massive oil and gas reserves, Nigeria is ranked among the poorest in the world. Nigeria's domestic setting had been confronted by violent conflicts from independence. Ehusani, a Nigerian cleric, gives an account of how violent conflicts like civil war, Sharia riots, Boko Haram terrorism, South-North dichotomy, and the Muslim-Christian debacle had crippled the African giant.³⁷ Other analysts decried how the Nigerian peacekeepers have earned global accolades without any peace in the country.³⁸

Several factors have engendered Nigeria's vulnerability to ethno-religious extremism. These include governance failure occasioned by corrupt tendencies, increased proliferation of Small Arms and Light Weapons (SALW), widespread poverty, porous land and maritime borders and ineffectual national security system. Pervasive poverty which must have resulted from governance failure has contributed to Nigeria's worsening external image. Poverty has exacerbated Nigeria's vulnerability to terrorism. In 2007 as an example, it was estimated that over 70 percent of Nigeria's 180 million people live on less than 1 dollar per day,

with 35 percent living in abject poverty. Of this figure, Nigeria's 3 Northern zones have an average poverty incidence of 70.1 percent as compared to 34.9 percent for the 3 southern zones.³⁹ Boko Haram has a pronounced presence in the North East of the country.

The above narrative is never to denigrate Nigeria. But it must be emphasized that international politics is a continuation of domestic politics. As Nigerians, we market ourselves to other African states. But, how do the African states perceive Nigeria?

African states' perception of Nigeria

Nigeria has actually sacrificed for Africa, an issue some African leaders acknowledge. However, the level of consent from other African states on the issue of Nigeria's regional leadership was and is still uncertain. There are instances when Nigeria's position on certain continental matters had been challenged and in many cases overturned by other African states. In spite of the country's contributions to the decolonization and development of the continent, yet the leadership of the African Commission has eluded Nigeria since 2003; Burkina Faso defeated Nigeria in the race in 2007.⁴⁰ A number of other cases attest to the assertion that Nigeria's leadership aspiration is a mirage.

First, without counting on Nigeria's contribution of over 72 percent of the ECOWAS funds, its neighbouring Francophone states had repeatedly battled to relocate the organization's parliament from Abuja to Cote d'Ivoire.⁴¹ Second, the International Court of Justice (ICJ) ruling that Nigeria has no sovereign rights over the Bakassi Peninsula was, to say the least, a devastating blow to Nigeria's acclaimed regional leadership.⁴² Nigeria's hasty acceptance of the ICJ ruling had brought untold hardship to the Nigerians living in the disputed territory. Tales of harassment by the Cameroon gendarmes have been recorded against Nigerians still living in Bakassi. Again, Nigeria's preparedness to cede its Bakassi region [listed in the 1999 Constitution as one of Nigeria's local Government Areas] shows the ineptitude of Nigeria's foreign policy in defining what constitutes her national interest. Ceding the Bakassi peninsula to Cameroon is an act of humiliation, particularly to the giant state in Africa. In realist terms, territories are hardly ceded.

In 2009, Nigeria expressed an interest to represent Africa as a non-permanent member of the United Nations Security Council. In spite of the AU support for

Nigeria's interest, Liberia, Sierra Leone and Togo almost frustrated the efforts by voting for themselves. Thus, while all participating African states voted for Nigeria, it was these West African states that did otherwise. That was how Nigeria was repaid for its pioneering ECOMOG peace efforts to these war torn West African states. However, self interest reigns supreme in international politics, with minimum regard to morality. Along this line, Saliu argues that a nation cannot hope and expect that having extended a good gesture towards another nation, the recipient will always want to reciprocate the gesture.⁴³

Many Nigerians have been humiliated abroad for coming from this part of the world. Nigeria's relationship with its neighbouring states like the Republic of Benin, Chad, Cameroon Gabon, Equatorial Guinea and Niger has seen odd times, particularly in maltreating Nigerians. For the incessant harassment of Nigerians at the Lake Chad region by the Chadian soldiers, Nigeria was forced to deport 700,000 Chadians from its shores in 1983.⁴⁴

The 2015 South African xenophobic attacks meted principally against Nigerians and few other Africans are another classic example. The incident marked the 21 years the apartheid minority rule ended in the Southern African sub-region. The house of Nigeria's High Commissioner in South Africa was attacked with gun shots and her children abducted.⁴⁵ Nigerians visiting South Africa had on many occasions been deported for allegedly lacking vaccination papers. At one instance, the Nigeria's Minister of Aviation, Kema Chikwe, was detained and denied entry into the country for similar reasons. Nigeria was forced to express its disgust over the unfriendly acts. Olugbenga Ashiru, Nigeria Foreign Minister under the administration of President Goodluck Jonathan, described South Africa's behaviour to Nigeria as 'unacceptable', 'unfortunate' 'totally unfriendly' and 'un-African and an affront to diplomatic norms'.⁴⁶ Reciprocity is a fundamental principle of international relations. What leadership privileges can Nigeria claim when its nationals are slaughtered and their properties destroyed for residing in South Africa, a country Nigeria lavished its resources as a Frontline State?

Before the xenophobic ordeal in South Africa, the Ghanaian authorities descended on Nigerians for engaging in retail businesses, hoping in the process to reserve such for its nationals. The Ghanaian authorities acted contrary to the ECOWAS statute which inter-alia, recommends the removal between member states, of obstacle to free movement of persons, goods, service and capital, and to

right of residence and establishment. It is the same country that in 2007 praised President Obasanjo for extending a 90-day credit to Ghana for 90000 barrels of crude oil. "Nigeria's big brother status has never been in doubt" a Ghanaian paper acknowledged.⁴⁷ Assuredly, Ghana is not the only country that remembers Nigeria's show of generosity. As soon as Sam Nujoma became the president of his country, Namibia, he showered praises on Nigeria for supporting their armed struggle against the racist minority government of South Africa.⁴⁸ Other African leaders like Yahya Jammeh of The Gambia, appreciate that Nigeria holds the key to the continued relevance of the continent on the global map. On the same note, the President of Malawi, Joyce Banda, also praised Nigeria for its commitment to peace, security and the development of the continent.⁴⁹ These and other praises showered on Nigeria proves the wastage on the side of Nigeria in pursuing non-core foreign policy goals - issues of regional leadership. How those issues have addressed the plights of Nigerians is yet to be seen. Nigeria's foreign policy pursuits have not resolved her governance problems.

Before the conclusion, it must be observed that Nigeria has taken some measures to change the perception of foreigners about the country. The *rebranding project* was specifically undertaken by the Ministry of Information and Communication during President Umaru Y'Adua era. Unfortunately, the rebranding exercise had little to do with the country's gateway with the outside world, the Federal Ministry of External Affairs. That is another evidence of poor coordination amongst Nigeria's ministries and agencies. In addition to lack of coordination among the governmental agencies is poor funding which adversely affects foreign missions, the in-fighting and clique politics on issues of external posting and promotions.⁵⁰ This is part and parcel of the domestic constraints to effective pursuit of foreign policy goals.

Conclusion

This study is guided by Nigeria's leadership role conception for Africa. The leadership role concept is unquestionably an elitist theory, given its concern with the rulers' perceptions rather than that of the ruled. It is a top-down leadership strategy. From that standpoint, Nigeria refers to the perception of the political elites that have governed the country since its independence in 1960. The political elite's idea of leadership has not profited the generality of Nigerians whose interest the country's foreign policy is supposed to serve. Richard Joseph observed quite appropriately that in the case of Nigeria, the state has been greatly eroded by excesses of prebendalism (official corrupt practices) to the

extent that the Nigerian state has been weakened by the low identification of Nigerian communities with the various units of governance.⁵¹ To boost the Nigerian economy, he suggested a sharp reduction in the funds stolen from the country's annual earnings, the dramatic reduction in lavish projects undertaken solely as means of siphoning wealth, and the conversion of the billions stashed abroad into investible capital at home.⁵²

Nigerian foreign policy from 1960 has been guided by the same principles and objectives. Nonetheless, different regimes in the country had emphasized on a non-core foreign policy objective – leadership status in Africa. But leadership is earned. Many African states have not accepted Nigeria's leadership in African matters. At many instances, Nigeria's perceptions on continental matters have been challenged and in many cases overturn by some of these African states. The situation is worsened by events that occur in Nigeria's domestic environment, particularly in areas of insecurity, corruption and poverty that had afflicted Nigerians. But can Nigeria lead a continent that has the lowest score in virtually every developmental index. Africa has the highest number and the largest concentration of poor nations in the world. It is a herculean task asking the poor to lead the poor. Akinterinwa's observation is apt:

Several countries, including some African states, have raised issues about contradictions between Nigeria's quest for permanent seat on the UN Security Council on the one hand and Nigeria's high level of indebtedness and inability to put her domestic situation in order on the other. In other words, how can Nigeria fund the maintenance of regional or international peace and security operations with her level of financial insolvency and much dependence on the developed world assistance?⁵³

Nigeria contradictory domestic setting has eroded the country's quest for prestige and honour. In as much as Nigeria performs poorly in the socio-economic index, and the nation continues to have unresolved governance problems, it follows that the quest for continental leadership in Africa will remain a cherished dream. Nigeria's leadership role quest from this context is to say the least, the race of the crippled.

End Notes

1. *The Times*, London. 16 March 1981.
2. This concept, National Role Conceptions, is elaborately discussed in Adigbuo, E. R's doctoral thesis: *Nigeria's National Role Conceptions: the Case of Namibia 1975-*

1990, submitted to the University of Johannesburg in 2005. The leadership role concept is one of the variables used by the analyst in examining Nigerian foreign policy towards the liberation of Namibia.

3. Adigbuo, E R, 2005, *Nigeria's National Role Conceptions: the Case of Namibia 1975-1990*, a doctoral thesis submitted to the University of Johannesburg in 2005, pp. 58-59.

4. Cited in Alli, W.O. 2013. "Nigeria: State Weakness and Foreign Policy" *Nigerian Journal of International Studies* Vol.38, No 1&2 pp 112-148.

5. Okonjo Iweala 2006, cited in Alli W O 2013.

6. Adigbuo, E.R., 2012. "Nigerian Foreign Policy: The African Identity Dilemma", *Journal of Law and Diplomacy*, Volume 9, Number 1, 2012, pp.17-24.

7. Ibid.

8. Akinyemi, B., 2016. "Nigeria Exceptionalism: What Exceptionalism", The 2016 Convocation Speech delivered by Professor Bolaji Akinyemi on 16 November 2016, at the University of Ibadan *Vanguard* online <http://www.vanguardngr.com/2016/11/nigerian-exceptionalism-quest-world-leadership-bolaji-akinyemi> Retrieved 12 December 2016.

9. Nye, J., 1990. *Bound to Lead: The Changing Nature of American Power*, New York: Basic Books.

10. Holsti, K.J., 1970. "National Role Conceptions in the Study of Foreign Policy" *International Studies Quarterly* Vol. 14 No.3, September, pp. 233-309.

11. Ibid.

12. Moghalu, K. C, 2017, "Africa: The leadership imperative for Africa's Future", A Key note Speech at the Africa Leadership Conference, Washington DC, 9 July 2017.

13. Ibid.

14. Anyaoku, E., 2017. "Re-establishing Nigeria's Leadership Position in the World", A Key note address presented at the 2017 Second Annual Akintola Williams Distinguished Lecture Series on August 13, 2017.

15. Ibid.

16. Akinyemi, A.B., 1974. "Foreign Policy and Federalism: the Nigerian Experience", in *Foreign Policy and Federalism, the Nigerian Experience*. Ibadan: Ibadan University Press, p.200.

OCHENDO: AN AFRICAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE STUDIES

ISSN: 26814-0788 (Print) 2814-077X (e). Vol. 2 No. 1. 2021

A Publication of the Association for the Promotion of African Studies

17. Mr. Prime Minister, 1964. "A Selection of Speeches made by Alhaji, the Right Honourable Sir Abubakar Tafawa Balewa, K.B.E, M.P., Prime Minister of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, Lagos: The Nigerian National Press Ltd, p.51.
18. Aluko, O., 1976. "Oil at Concessionary Prices for Africa: A Case Study in Nigerian Decision Making". *African Affairs*, Vol.75.
19. Gambari, I., 1975. "Nigeria and the World: A Growing Internal Stability, Wealth and External Influence". *Journal of International Affairs*, Vol. 29 (fall).
20. *The Times*, London. 16 March 1981.
21. Idisi, C.O. and Idise, G.E. (eds). 1996. *Dynamic Cases in International Relations*, Lagos: Uto Publications, p.169.
22. Ebohon and Obakhedo, 2012. "Nigeria and Regional Economic Reconstruction", *European Journal of Social Sciences* Vol 29 No 1, p.163.
23. Arikpo O., 1974. "Nigeria and the OAU", *Quarterly Journal of Administration*, No. 14, October, p. 55.
24. Alhaji Shehu Shagari, 1980. "Address at the Annual Dinner of the Nigerian Institute of International Affairs", Lagos.
25. Akinterinwa, B., 2013. "After the OAU/AU at 50: The 2063 AU Agenda", *This Day*, June 2 p. 18.
26. Ibid.
27. Idumange J. Agreen, 2012. "The Problematic of Redefining Nigeria's National Interest in the Context of Global Diplomacy", *SSS Research and Social Media: Bayelsa State*.
28. Cooper, A.F, Higgot, R.R.A. and Nossal, K.R. 1993, *Relocating Middle Powers*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia, p. 15.
29. *African Business*, April 2006, pp 32-33
30. South African Government Press Release, 2 September 2016, accessed 7February 2017.
31. Akinnaso, N 2009. "Will the Real Giant of Africa please stand up! *Punch* 28 May.
32. Ibid.

33. Oni, A 2010. "Expert Canvasses for Development-based Researchers" *This Day* 6 July, 2010.
34. Adebajo, A. 2013. "Adebajo: Decline of Nigeria's Foreign Policy" *The Guardian* (Nigeria), May 6 2013.
35. Akinyemi, B A., 1987. "Reciprocity in Nigeria's Foreign Policy" *Nigerian Forum*, May-June, No. 5&6.
36. Gambari, I. A., 2004. *The New partnership for African Development: challenges and progress in organizing international support*, Lagos: The Nigerian Institute of International Affairs p.50.
37. Ehusan, G., 2015, "Missing ingredients in Nigeria's democratic consolidation", *The Guardian*, Wednesday March 25.
38. Ukala S, 2006. "Introduction" in *African Arts and National Development*, Ibadan: Kraft books, p.10.
39. Onuoha, F.C. 2010. "Nigeria's Vulnerability to Terrorism: The Imperative of a Counter Religious Extremism and Terrorism (CONREST) Strategy", *Nigerian Forum, A Journal of Opinion on World Affairs*, NIIA September-October, Vol.31, Nos.9-10, pp. 301-302.
40. Dickson, M 2010 "Citizen Diplomacy in President Umaru Yar'Adua's Nigeria, 2007-2009, an Assessment", *International Journal of Politics and Good Governance*, Volume 1, No 1.3 Quarter 111 2010, p.8.
41. Daily Trust (Nigeria), January 16 2007.
42. Adigbuo, E. R 2011, "Green Tree Agreement on Bakassi Peninsula: The Ambivalence of National Interest", in Egonwa O. D ed *The Humanities and Human Capital Development*, Abraka: The Humanities, pp 449-465.
43. Saliu, Hassan A (2012) Reflections on Fifty Years of Nigeria's Foreign Policy, *Nigerian Journal of International Affairs* Volume 38, Number 2, May-August, 2012, pp.45-62.
44. Russet, B. & Stair H. (1992). *World politics: the menu of choice* 4th Edition New York. WH Free man & company pp. 184-85.
45. Onuoha J., 2008 *Beyond Diplomacy: Contemporary Issues in International Relations*, Nsukka: Great AP Express Publishers, pp. 277.
46. Obayuwana O, Onochie, B.C. and Itunu, A, (2012) "South Africa Apologises to Nigeria over Row", *The Guardian*, 9 March p, 1.
47. *Ghanaian Chronicle*, March 12, 2007.

OCHENDO: AN AFRICAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE STUDIES

ISSN: 26814-0788 (Print) 2814-077X (e). Vol. 2 No. 1. 2021

A Publication of the Association for the Promotion of African Studies

48. Nujoma, S, 2003. *No Fourth Term For Me Interview*, Internet source, accessed 25 February 2005. www.swans.com/library/art9/ankomah9.html; this show of appreciation is cited in Adigbuo, 2005, op.cit.
49. Akinyemi, B., 2016. "Nigeria Exceptionalism:
50. Daily Trust 14 April 2006, "Transforming, not Reforming the Foreign Affairs Ministry".
51. Joseph, R., 2000. "A Democratic Nigeria and the Challenge of Leadership in Africa", *Nigerian Journal of International Affairs*, Volume 26, Number 2, 2000, pp 110-111.
52. Ibid p.112.
53. Akinterinwa, B. A, ed., 2007, *National Interest in a Globalizing World*, Ibadan: International Publishers.